

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/986,535	IKEWAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick T. Lewis	1623	

All Participants:

 (1) Patrick T. Lewis.

 (2) Thomas Cunningham.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2005
Time: 1:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112-1 Enablement (Deposit Rules)

Claims discussed:

3 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

None
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Cunningham that the deposit rules for biological materials had not been satisfied and would require a rejection under 35 USC 112-1. Mr. Cunningham informed the examiner that the fungus had been deposited and would furnish the examiner with a copy of the deposit receipt..